Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria AIA Course Number IEICES082615



Presented By:

Scott L. Weiland PE and Stephen L. Morgan El with INNOVATIVE ENGINEERING October 11, 2016

SEDUCATION SEDUCATION

Innovative EngineeringInc.

The Pinnacle of Structural Engineering

Learning Objectives

- Learn and Understand
 - Core principles of physical security design
 - The effects of blast loading on the building envelope
 - Department of Defense Physical Security Criteria UFC 4-010-01
 - Approaches to mitigate the hazards associated with Physical Security



Graduate Studies: San Jose State University Georgia Institute of Technology

BSCE University of Michigan

Today's Presenters

Scott L Weiland PE

Education

- Anti-Terrorism/Force Protection Security Engineering: Applied Research Associates
- Design of Blast Resistant Structures: Baker Risk
- Blast Resistance for Anti-Terrorism: Protective Engineering Consultants
- Updated UFC 4-010-01: SAME Architectural Practice
- Security Engineering: USACE Protective Design Center
- Registration: PE in 15 States + PR
- Experience
 - 35 Years in Design and Construction
 - 21 Years in ATFP Security Engineering



Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

Today's Presenters

Stephen L Morgan El

- Education
 - BSCET, Southern Polytechnic State University
 - Blast Resistance for Anti-Terrorism: Protective Engineering Consultants
 - Blast Resistance by Design: Stone Security Engineering
- Experience: 11 Years Security Engineering
- Expertise
 - ATFP Peer Reviews
 - Blast Design
 - Progressive Collapse



Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

DoD Criteria Starting Point

UFC 4-020-01 11 September 2008

UNIFIED FACILITIES CRITERIA (UFC)

DoD Security Engineering Facilities Planning Manual



DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A: Approved for Public Release; Distribution is unlimited. • 18 Asset Categories

• 10 Aggressor Types

• 13 Tactics

• 5 Levels of Protection

Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

Design Criteria Development



Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

DoD Minimum Standard Criteria



- 1 Asset Category People
- 2 Aggressor Types
 - Domestic & International Terrorists
- 4 Tactics
 - Stationary **Bomb** Primary
 - Hand Delivered Bomb*
 - Indirect Fire Weapon*
 - Direct Fire Weapon*
 - Airborne Contamination*
- 2 Levels of Protection

Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

Blast Theory - Explosion

- Shock Wave
- Reflected Pressure
- Side-On Pressure
- Rebound



Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

Blast Theory - Distance



• Pressures decay with the cube root of the distance from the explosion.

Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

Blast Theory - Optimum Standoff



- Optimize total cost of Hardening + Land + Perimeter
 - Less stand-off requires more hardening.
 - More stand-off requires more land and perimeter
 - Note Progressive Collapse is threat independent.



Questions?

Next: DoD Minimum Antiterrorism Standards for Buildings Stephen L Morgan El

Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

© 2015, Innovative Engineering In©, 2011 Sightso RetirevEd gineering Inc., All Rights Reserved

Content Overview

- Intent of UFC 4-010-01
- Basic Concepts
 - Levels of Protection
 - Building Categories
 - Standoff Distance
- Applicability and Exemptions
- Examples



Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

Intent of UFC 4-010-01

- Minimize mass casualties in buildings or portions of buildings owned, leased, privatized or otherwise occupied, managed, or controlled by or for the DoD in the event of a terrorist attacks
- Provides baseline minimum standards to address anti-terrorism force protection for DoD buildings
- Cost effective means of protecting DoD personnel from a wide range of threats posed by terrorist
- Allows implementation of the standard to vast quantity of assets controlled by DoD over time in a more cost effective way

Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

Levels of Protection

- Below Anti-Terrorism Standards –NOT a level of protection and never a design goal
- Very Low heavy damage, onset of collapse
- Low moderate damage, progressive collapse will not occur
- Medium and High
 - Outside the scope of the UFC
 - Refer to UFC 4-020-01 DoD Security Engineering Facilities Planning Manual



UFC 4-010-01

24

Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

Building Categories

- **Billeting** Any building or portion of building in which 11 or more DoD personnel are routinely housed regardless of population density
- High Occupancy Family Housing DoD buildings used as quarters for DoD personnel and their departments with 13 or more units per building.
- Primary Gathering Buildings sheltering DoD personnel routinely occupied by 50 or more and a populations density of more than 1 person/430 SF
- Inhabited Buildings sheltering DoD personnel routinely occupied by 11 or more and a
 populations density of more than 1 person/430 SF
- Low Occupancy Buildings sheltering DoD personnel routinely occupied by fewer than 11
 or population density less than 1 person/430 SF
- Historic Buildings
 - Determine adverse affects caused by standard implementation
 - Historic status does not negate the implementation of the standard

Threat Definition

• Types of Threats

- Vehicle Bombs Charge Weight I or II
- Waterborne Vessel Bombs Charge Weight I or II at perimeter
- Placed Bombs Charge Weight II
- Mail Bombs (No size defined in this standard)
- Indirect Fire Weapons Charge Weight III
- Direct Fire Weapons small arms or shoulder fired rockets
- Chemical, Biological and Radiological Weapons
- Explosive Weights for each charge weight can be found in UFC 4-010-02 (FOUO)
- Charge Weight I is MUCH higher than Charge Weight II

• What is Standoff Distance?

- Minimum Standoff Distance The smallest permissible standoff distance for new construction regardless of analysis. For existing buildings standoff distances less than the minimum used for new construction may be used if analysis shows the level of protection can be met
- Conventional Construction Standoff Distance –Standoff distance at which conventional construction may be used for building components without specific analysis. However windows and doors must always be analyzed for blast effects
- Standoff distances are measured to Controlled perimeters, parking, roadways and trash containers

Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

• What is Conventional Construction?

- Parts of a building not specifically designed to resist weapons or explosive effects. Windows, doors and their respective support system always require analysis at their respective standoff distance and associated charge weight
- This construction is not exempt from building code requirements for gravity, wind, seismic loading

Conventional Construction Assumptions

Table 2-3 Conventional Construction Parameters

Analysis Assumptions^(2, 9) Min. Static Material Wall or Roof Support Supported Reinforceme Type⁽¹⁾ Sections Span Spacing Condition Weight Ratio Strength Wood Studs 2x4 & 2x6 ii 8-10 11 16-24 in S-S 44 psf N/A 875 psi - Brick (50x100 & (2.4 - 3 m) 400 - 600 mm (215 kg/m²) (6 MPa) Veneer 50x150 mm Wood Studs 2x4 & 2x6 in 8-10ft 16 -24 in S-S 10 paf N/A 875 psi -EIFS (50x100 & (2.4-3 m) 400 -600 mm) (49 kg/m²) (6 MPa) 50x150 mm Steel Studs 600S162-43 8-12ft 16 - 24 in S-S N/A 44 psf 50,000 Brick 6008162-54 psi (215 kg/m²) (400 - 600 mm 2.4-3.7 m) Veneer⁽³⁾ 600\$162-68 (345 MPa) Steel Studs 600S162-43 8-1211 16-24 in S-S 10 pst N/A 50,000 EIFS(3) 600S162-54 psi 24-37 m) (400-600 mm (49 kg/m²) 600\$162-68 (345 MPa) 1.5-3 in 4 - 8 ftN/A S-S 10 pst N/A 33,000 Metal Panels⁽⁶⁾ psi (228 (38 - 76 mm) (1.2 - 2.4 m) (49 kg/m²) MPa) (in wall or 22, 20, 8 18 roof construction) ga Girts⁽⁶⁾ 8Z3 & 10Z3 20-25 ft 6-81 \$-S 5 psf N/A 50,000 (in wall or psi 16, 14, & 12 (6 - 7.6 m)(1.8 - 2.4 m)(24 kg/m²) roof (345 ga construction MPa) 3,000 ps Reinforced ≥6 in $12 - 20 \, \text{ft}$ N/A S-S. 10 psf ≥ 0.0015 Concrete One way (≥ 150 mm) (3.7-6 m) (49 kg/m²) (21 MPa) flexure 6-12 in 8-12 ft N/A S-S. 10 psf 0 1,500 ps Inreinforced One way (49 ka/m²) (10 MPa) (150 - 300)(2.4 - 3.7 m)Masonry^(4, 8) flexure mm)

Table 2-3 Conventional Construction Parameters

Wall or Roof Type ⁽¹⁾		Analysis Assumptions ^(2, 9)								
	Sections	Span	Spacing	Support Condition	Supported Weight ⁽⁵⁾	Reinforcement Ratio	Min. Static Material Strength			
Reinforced Masonry ^(7, 8)	8 – 12 in (200 - 300 mm)	10-14 ft (3-4.3 m) 12 ft (3.7m) 14 ft (4.3m)	N/A	S-S, One way flexure	10 psf (49 kg/m²)	0.0005 - 0.0030	1,500 psi (10 MPa)			
European Block ^(3, 4)	6 – 8 in (150 – 200 mm)	10 - 12 ft (3 - 3.7 m)	N/A	S-S, Brittle Flexure	10 psf (49 kg/m ²)	0	1,800 psi (12 MPa)			
Concrete Roofs ⁽⁷⁾	4 – 12 in (100 - 300 mm)	6 ft (1.8 m)	N/A	F-S	15 psf (73 kg/m ²)	0.0015 - 0.005	3,000 psi (21 Mpa)			
Metal Roofs	K and LH joists with Metal Deck and/or 3.5 - 5.5 in (90 - 140 mm) Concrete Topping	30 ft (9.1m)	4 – 8 ft (1.2 – 2.4 m)	8-5	15 – 90 psf (73 – 439 kg/m ³)	N/A	50,000 psi (345 MPa)			

 Other types of construction other than that shown in this table may be permissible subject to validation by the designer of record.

2. See PDC Technical Report 10-01 for details on the analysis assumptions and material properties

 I11 Steel studs are assumed to be connected top and bottom for load bearing walls. For non-load bearing walls steel studs are assumed to have a slip-track connection at the top /1/.

4. Unreinforced masonry must have adequate lateral support at the top and bottom.

Weight supported by the wall that moves through the same deflection as the wall, not including self-weight of the component.

6. 11 For walls or roofs built using metal panels and girts, use the greater of the standoffs for the metal panel and the girt /1/.

7. 11\ Reinforcing steel is 60,000 psi (414 MPa) tensile strength./1/

8. \1\ Concrete Masonry Units (excluding European block) are medium weight (120 pcf / 1922 kg/m²) /1/

9. 111 Shear will need to be checked when using higher than minimum material strengths. /1/

S-S = Simple - Simple Supports F-S = Fixed - Simple Supports

Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

Important Site Features

- Controlled perimeter a physical boundary at which vehicle access is controlled, generally at the perimeter of on installation or high water mark, where threats of charge weight I can be searched and detected.
- Unobstructed Space
 - Space that extends from the building walls out to the conventional construction standoff distance.

• Parking

- New buildings parking never permitted within minimum standoff
- Existing Buildings parking only permitted within minimum standoff if LOP can be achieved through hardening
- Controlled parking for existing buildings can be within CCSD without hardening provided controlled parking with ID check is provided at or beyond the CCSD. Pedestrian access control must also be provided to these parking areas (IE Fencing)
- Parking of government and emergency vehicles that never leave restricted access areas are allowed within the minimum standoff
- Driving lanes within Parking Areas of existing buildings may be closer than parking spaces located at the required standoff, but vehicles may not be left unattended. Standoff for this condition is the nearest parking space. This is not allowed for new buildings

- Roadways
 - New and existing buildings roadways never within minimum standoff distance
- Trash Containers
 - Never within minimum standoff distance
 - If more that two sides or within the unobstructed space, container must be 5 sided and prevent concealment of an object 6 inches or greater in height or width
- Adjacent Existing Buildings
 - Where new or existing buildings designed in accordance with this standard including parking, roadways and trash containers are adjacent to an existing inhabited building, the standoff distance from the new or existing building project to the adjacent existing building shall be in accordance with Standard 1. If these distances can not be met, the adjacent existing building must be analyzed for the new standoff distance

Standoff Distance Tables B-1 and B-2

Table B-1 Standoff Distances for New and Existing Buildings

	1	Standoff Distances							
			Conventiona Standof	Construction Distance					
Distance to:	Building Category	Applicable Level of Protection	Load Bearing Walls (T)	Non-Load Bearing Walls (1)	Minimum Standoff Distance ⁽²⁾	Applicable Explosive Weight ⁽³⁾			
Controlled Perimeter or Parking and	Billeting and High Occupancy Family Housing	Low	A	C	20 ft (6 m)	1			
without a Controlled Perimeter	Primary Gathering Building	Low	A	c	20 ft (6 m)				
	Inhabited Building	Very Low	в	D	20 ft (6 m)	T			
Parking and Roadways within a Controlled Perimeter	Billeting and High Occupancy Family Housing	Low	E	G	13 ft (4 m)				
	Primary Gathering Building	Low	E	G	13 ft (4 m)				
	Inhabited Building	Very Low	F	н	13 ft \1\ (4 m) /1/	и			
Trash Containers	Billeting and High Occupancy Family Housing	Low	E	G	13 ft (4 m)	Ш			
	Primary Gathering Building	Low	E	G	13 ft (4 m)	Ш			
	Inhabited Building	Very Low	F	н	13 ft \1\ (4 m) /1/				

1. See Table B-2 for standoff distances.

For new construction, standoff distances less than those in this column are not allowed for new buildings regardless of analysis or hardening. For existing buildings that are constructed / retrofitted to provide the required level of protection, standoffs less than those in this column are allowed, but discouraged.

 See UFC 4-010-02, for the specific explosive weights (pounds / kg of TNT) associated with explosive weights I and II. UFC 4-010-02 is For Official Use Only (FOUO).

	Column Letter									
	With	out Contr	olled Perin	neter	Within Controlled Perimeter					
	Appli	cable Exp	Iosive Wei	ght l ⁽⁵⁾	Applicable Explosive Weight II ³¹¹ (5)/17					
Wall	Load Bearing		Non-Load Bearing		Load Bearing		Non-Load Bearing			
Type ^{IIV(1, 6)/I/}	Walls		Walls		Walls		Walls			
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H		
	PG & BIL	INHAB	PG & BIL	INHAB	PG & BIL	INHAB	PG & BIL	INHAB		
	LLOP	VLLOP	LLOP	VLLOP	LLOP	VLLOP	LLOP	VLLOP		
Wood Studs –	105 ft	105 ft	79 ft	66 ft	36 ft	36 ft	23 ft	16 ft		
Brick Veneer	(32 m)	(32 m)	(24 m)	(20 m)	(11 m)	(11 m)	(7 m)	(5 m)		
Wood Studs –	207 ft	207 ft	164 ft	141 ft	86 ft	86 ft	66 ft	56 ft		
EIFS	(63 m)	(63 m)	(50 m)	(43 m)	(26 m)	(26 m)	(20 m)	(17 m)		
Metal Studs –	187 ft	187 ft	207 ft ⁽³⁾	187 ft ⁽³⁾	75 ft	75 ft	82 ft ⁽³⁾	75 ft ⁽³⁾		
Brick Veneer	(57 m)	(57 m)	(63 m)	(57 m)	(23 m)	(23 m)	(25 m)	(23 m)		
Metal Studs –	361 ft	361 ft	420 ft ^{cs}	361 ft ⁽³⁾	151 ft	151 ft	167 ft ⁽³⁾	151 ft ⁽³⁾		
EIFS	(110 m)	(110 m)	(128 m)	(110 m)	(46 m)	(46 m)	(51 m)	(46 m)		
Metal Panels	n/a ⁽²⁾	n/a ⁽²⁾	151 ft (46 m)	108 ft (33 m)	n/a ⁽²⁾	n/a ⁽²⁾	56 ft (17 m)	39 ft (12 m)		
Girts	n/a ⁽²⁾	n/a ⁽²⁾	115 ft (35 m)	59 ft (18 m)	n/a ⁽²⁾	n/a ⁽²⁾	23 ft (7 m)	16 ft (5 m)		
Reinforced	66 n	66 ft	26 ft	20 ft	16 ft	16 ft	13 ft	13 ft		
Concrete	(20 m)	(20 m)	(8 m)	(6 m)	(5 m)	(5 m)	(4 m)	(4 m)		
Unreinforced	262 ft	262 ft	125 ft	33 ft	80 ft	80 ft	26 ft	16 ft		
Masonry ⁽⁴⁾	(80 m)	(80 m)	(38 m)	(10 m)	(24 m)	(24 m)	(8 m)	(5 m)		
Reinforced	86 ft	86 ft	30 ft	20 ft	30 ft	30 ft	13 ft	13 ft		
Masonry	(26 m)	(26 m)	(9 m)	(6 m)	(9 m)	(9 m)	(4 m)	(4 m)		
European Block	164 ft	164 ft	59 ft	30 ft	39 ft	39 ft	23 ft	16 ft		
	(50 m)	(50 m)	(18 m)	(9 m)	(12 m)	(12 m)	(7 m)	(5 m)		
11 Roof Construction in Table 2-3 /1/	20 ft (6 m)					13 fi	t (4 m)			

Table B-2 Conventional Construction Standoff Distances

Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

Applicability of UFC 04-010-01

- All new non-exempt buildings shall comply with the UFC including
 - DoD Occupied Buildings
 - Non DoD Tenant Buildings on DoD property
 - National Guard Buildings
 - Visitor Centers and Museums
 - Visitor Control Centers at entry control Facilities/Access control points
 - Expeditionary

Applicability of UFC 04-010-01

- Existing Buildings shall comply with the UFC when Triggered by the following
 - Major Investment When renovation exceeds 50% of the total plant replacement value ,excluding costs to meet this standard I.E. using blast windows vs non-blast windows
 - Change of Occupancy I.E From Inhabited to Primary Gathering
 - Window, Skylight and Glazed Door Replacement and Installation
 - Roadway Improvement Projects that change standoff distances from the original building design

Applicability of UFC 04-010-01

Building Additions

- Includes the addition AND entire building if addition area is greater than 50% of the existing building
- Leased Buildings
 - All new and renewing leases where DoD occupies at least 25% of the building area.
 - If off installation building shall conform with Interagency Security Committee standards
- DoD Purchase of Existing Buildings
- Projects under previous versions of the standard do not need to be reprogrammed to meet the current standard if they are beyond 35% complete or passed the RFP stage for Design/Build projects

Exemptions of UFC 04-010-01

• Buildings exempted from all provisions in the UFC

- Low occupancy family housing
- Low occupancy buildings
- Fisher houses with 24 units or less
- Town Centers
- Enhanced Use leases
- Transitional Structures and spaces
- Temporary relocatable buildings
- Construction administration structures

Exemptions of UFC 04-010-01

- Exempt from Roadway and Standoff Provisions
 - Gas stations and car care centers
 - Military protective construction
 - Stand-alone franchised fast food operations
 - Stand-alone shopettes, minimarts and similarly sized commissaries
 - Small stand-alone commercial, bank and pharmacy facilities
 - Parking structures

- Increase Standoff Distance Wherever Possible to minimize hardening for blast loading
 - Roadway realignment to increase standoff distance to conventional construction distance





Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}$ 2015, Innovative Engineering Inc., All Rights Reserved

• Envelope Design

• Mass is your friend (12 Ft; 600S162 16 GA Stud at 16" OC with 30 FT Standoff, CWII)

$\theta_{max} = 5.99$ deg. Design Crite	eria: VLLOF	P/Seconda	ry-NS	With FIFS
μ = 4.95 Response	DOES NOT MEE	ET input de	sign criteria	
X _{max} Inbound = 7.56 in	at time =	23.50	msec	
X _{min} Rebound = 0.00 in	at time =	0.00	msec	
R _{max} = 1.86 psi	at time =	23.50	msec	
R _{min} = -1.86 psi	at time =	58.50	msec	
Shortest Yield Line Distance to Determine	e 0 :	72.0	0 in	

Results Summary							
θ _{max} = 3.34 d	θ _{max} = 3.34 deg.		VLLOP/Secondary		/-NS		
μ = 2.55 Response meets input design criteria							
X _{max} Inbound =	4.20	in	at time =	16.80	msec		
X _{min} Rebound =	-1.46	in	at time =	45.00	msec		
R _{max} =	4.62	psi	at time =	16.80	msec		
R _{min} =	-4.62	psi	at time =	31.40	msec		
Shortest Yield Line [Shortest Yield Line Distance to Determine θ: 72.0 in						

			Results Sun	nmary			
θ _{max} =	1.95	deg.	Design Criteria:	VLLO	P/Seconda	ry-NS	∃With Brick
μ=	1.61		Respon	se meets inp	ut design cr	iteria	
X _{max} In	bound =	2.45	in	at time =	25.00	msec	
X _{min} Re	bound =	-1.13	in	at time =	82.60	msec	
	R _{max} =	1.86	psi	at time =	25.00	msec	
	R _{min} =	-1.86	psi	at time =	82.60	msec	
Shortest `	Yield Line	e Distance	e to Determine θ:		72.	0 in	

To meet the blast requirements using EIFS the Studs will need to be increased to 600S162 12GA at 12" O.C. This is a significant increase in cost over the entire building.

Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\text{C}}}$ 2015, Innovative Engineering Inc., All Rights Reserved

Stronger members are not always better

• Using the same example (12 Ft; 600S162 16 GA Stud at 16" OC with 30 FT Standoff, CWII; With Brick)

Equivalent Static Reactions*							
Peak Reactions Based on Ultimate Flexural Res	sistance of Metal Studs:	Vu					
Vu at Support A =	2,143 II	b					
Vu at Support B =	2,143 I	b					

16GA Stud

Equivalent Static Reactions*							
Peak Reactions Based on Ultimate Flexural Resistance of Metal Studs: Vu							
Vu at Support A =	3,993	lb					
Vu at Support B =	3,993	lb					
12GA	Stud						

The increase in stud size lead to an increase of 87% in the Equivalent Static Reaction. This leads to higher connection costs for the studs.

Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

Balanced Design Approach

- Used to control the mode of failure
- Glazed Opening Example
- Window Resistance

Governs the design of the system

- Window anchorage to the supporting structural element resistance
- Supporting structural element resistance
- Supporting structural element anchorage to main structure resistance
- This concept is important because when specifying window requirements, the requirements should not be in excess of what is really required as this will lead to a more expensive and unbalanced system.
- Always review glazing submittals to ensure the window system resistance does not exceed the project requirements and if testing is submitted always review the anchorage used during testing. Often the anchorage used does not reflect project conditions.

Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

 $\textcircled{\sc c}$ 2015, Innovative Engineering Inc., All Rights Reserved

Clearly Identify the Blast Criteria in Specifications and Documents

- Drawing Notes: Be mindful of FOUO information
 - 1. BUILDING CATEGORY: PRIMARY GATHERING
 - 2. GLAZING, GLAZING FRAMES AND ANCHORAGE:
 - G. EQUIVALENT 3-SECOND DURATION DESIGN PRESSURE DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM E1300 IN CONJUNCTION WITH ASTM F2248 BASED ON THE APPLICABLE EXPLOSIVE WEIGHT (EXPLOSIVE WEIGHT II) AND ACTUAL STAND OFF DISTANCE TO GLAZING. AS AN ALTERNATIVE THESE ITEMS CAN BE DESIGNED DYNAMICALLY OR TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH UFC 4-010-01 REQUIREMENTS. THE ALTERNATE DESIGNS SHALL BE BASED ON APPLICABLE EXPLOSIVE WEIGHT (EXPLOSIVE WEIGHT II) AND ACTUAL STANDOFF DISTANCE.
 - 3. STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS SUPPORTING GLAZING IN WINDOWS AND DOORS:
 - a. DESIGN LOAD DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH UFC 4-010-01 BASED ON APPLICABLE EXPLOSIVE WEIGHT (EXPLOSIVE WEIGHT II) AND ACTUAL STAND OFF DISTANCE.
 - 4. FACADE: WALL PANELS AND ROOF PANELS
 - a. DESIGN LOAD DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH UFC 4-010-01 BASED ON APPLICABLE EXPLOSIVE WEIGHT (EXPLOSIVE WEIGHT II) AND ACTUAL STAND OFF DISTANCE.
- Generally window, door and façade elements are specified by the architect and must be coordinated with the blast requirements by the blast consultant to avoid confusion by the vendor and costly change orders and or incorrectly designed products for the project.

Examples – Existing Buildings

Low Cost Standoff Distance Increase

- Existing building has parking within conventional construction standoff distance up to the minimum standoff distance.
- Provide controlled parking at conventional construction standoff distance.

Existing Construction Example



Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

Examples – Existing Buildings

• Be Aware of Major Renovation Costs

- Renovation projects can tend to grow in price over the course of a project. Ensure that the estimate cost does not invoke the Major Renovation Trigger
- Always verify the Plant Replacement Value (PRV) determined via UFC 3-701-01 with the installation. Installations my have a lower or higher PRV for the particular building under consideration.
- Remember window replacement costs are not part of the major renovation cost trigger.

Examples – Existing Buildings

Understand the Building Occupancy



Practical Application of Physical Security Criteria

Questions?



The Pinnacle of Structural Engineering